

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,462	03/09/2005	Toshiharu Otsuka	Q85518	2244
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/09/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER ·		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BALDWIN, GORDON	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,		1794	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/521,462	OTSUKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gordon R. Baldwin	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ily 2007.				
•==	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
one of the standard of the sta					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 8-10 and 21-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0157317) "Ito" and further in view of Masanori (Japanese Pat. No. JP5914226) "226".

Consider claims 8, 9 and 21-22, Ito teaches an antistatic coating material (Para. 35-36) with the use of a conductive metal oxide particles (tin oxide Para. 49) in conjunction with a binder resin (Para. 61) with an organic solvent of ethyl acetate (Para. 53) in addition to teaching the a solid matter concentration embodiment of 20% (Para. 188). '226 on the other hand teaches that the content of the tin oxide electroconductive fine powder is preferably between 45-80% by weight with a particle size of 20 nanometers, which is considered to encompass the limitation of 100nm and 200nm or smaller. (Abstract) It would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art at the time of the invention of the invention to combine the article of Ito with the coating characteristics of '226 to obtain heightened transmittance with a lower haze value for the antistatic article. ('226, abstract)

Consider claims 10 and 23, since both Ito and '226 teach the article of claim 8, the article is considered to have the same characteristics as claimed in claim 10.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/25/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While the applicant's argument in regard to the special mixing condition is understood, this aspect of the argument is not commensurate with the limitations of claim 8. Additionally, the physical specifications are met by the combination of Ito and

Application/Control Number: 10/521,462 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

'226, with Ito specifically stating that this transparent coating film forming liquid forms a transparent coating fill in which the inorganic compound particles are uniformly dispersed. Such a transparent coating film-firming liquid enables formation of a transparent coating film on a large substrate with uniform dispersion of the inorganic compound particles with excellent film appearance. (Ito, Para. 157) This passage is considered to teach that the metal oxide is finely dispersed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon R. Baldwin whose telephone number is (571)272-5166. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,462 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GRB

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

dulf